

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/942,602	08/31/2001	Kia Silverbrook	AP73US 7468			
24011	7590 02/22/2006		EXAMINER			
SILVERBR	OOK RESEARCH P	WU, XIAO MIN				
393 DARLIN BALMAIN,		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
AUSTRALI			2674			
				DATE MAILED: 02/22/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/942,60	)2	SILVERBROOK, KIA	
		Examiner		Art Unit	
		XIAO M. V	VU	2674	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	;
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAnsions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the present of the maximum state of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply weeply received by the Office later than three months afted patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF TH of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no even unication. utory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  The sent, however, may a reply be the sent, however, may a reply be the sent and the sent	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communi ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status					
·	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 21 Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice.	b)⊠ This action is n or allowance except	on-final. for formal matters, pr		its is
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□	Claim(s) 1-4,7,8 and 10-16 is/are per 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-4,7,8 and 10-16 is/are rejected to. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict ion Papers	e withdrawn from co ected. ion and/or election r	nsideration.		
10)	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) tion to the drawing(s) the correction is required.	ne held in abeyance. Se ed if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	` '
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of Some * Copies of the priority of See the attached detailed Office actions	documents have bee documents have bee of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Rul	n received. In received in Applicatents have been receive e 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stag	e
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F r No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/25/2006</u> .		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal f 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 09/942,602

Art Unit: 2674

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 1/25/2006 has been entered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 7-8, 10, 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo US Patent No. (6,512,497) in view of Swartz et al. (US Patent No. 6,095,418), Rentsch (US Patent No. 5,541,396), Rovin (US Patent No. 5,049,728) and Muller et al. (US Patent No. 6,182,899).

As to claims 1, 7, 8, Kondo discloses a handheld electronic text and/or graphics presentation device including: receiving means (e.g. receiving a CD), scanning means (e.g. optical scanner for reading or scanning a CD 5); a user input control means (13, 14, Fig. 1); processing means ((21, Fig. 2) coupled to the scanning means, the programming means operatively programmed to generate a data signal corresponding to the text and/or graphics in response to control signal from the user input control means; and a display means (11, 12, Fig. 1)

Art Unit: 2674

arranged to received the data signal from the processing means and display the text and/or graphics.

It is noted that Kondo does not specifically disclose the recording or storage medium is a pattern encoding text and/or graphics of a plurality of pages of a document printed thereon, and Kundo does not specifically a card magazine for storing a plurality of the cards. In addition, Kondo does not specifically disclose receiving means incorporating a roller mechanism arranged to retract the card into the device.

Swartz is cited to teach a pattern encoding text and/or graphics can be printed in a card and the information stored in the card can be decoded and display on a screen. (See Fig. 4).

Rentsch is further cited to teach a pattern encoding text and/or graphics of plurality of pages of a document printed thereon (see Fig. 1) and the information stored in the printed pattern are scanned and readable by the computer similar to Swartz. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have replaced the recording medium of Kondo with the features of encoding information stored in a card as taught by Swartz and the features of a plurality of pages printed thereon as taught by Rentsch because both Swartz and Rentsch can be reproduced in a simple way such as to print a pattern including a plurality of pages of encoding text and/or graphics on a card, also the printed card is cost much lesser than a CD.

Rovin is further cited to teach a magazine for holding several electronic cards and each may simply be available for individual presentation to a card reader (col. 6, lines 5-12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kondo as modified with the features of magazine for holding a plurality of cards as taught by Rovin to increase the capacity of the printed information.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,602

Art Unit: 2674

Muller is further cited to teach a loading mechanism for loading and/or unloading a memory card by using a roller mechanism (see Fig. 1). It would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kondo as modified with the features of the roller mechanism for a memory card as taught by Muller because Muller provides an easy way for loading and unloading the memory card.

As to claim 2, Kondo discloses a foldable housing comprising first and second housing portions pivotal relative to each other (see Fig. 1).

As to claim 3, Kondo discloses a common spine (16, Fig. 1).

As to claim 10, Kondo discloses a card storage magazine (e.g. inside of the housing see col. 2, lines 36-39).

As to claims 14 and 15, it would have been obvious to have two print circuit boards because two displays can be driven by their own drivers.

4. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo US Patent No. (6,512,497) in view of Swartz et al. (US Patent No. 6,095,418), Rentsch (US Patent No. 5,541,396), Rovin (US Patent No. 5,049,728) and Muller et al. (US Patent No. 6,182,899) as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Isao (JP 2001-100667).

As to claim 4, it is noted that Kondo, Swartz, Rentsch, Rovin and Muller do not disclose the spine includes a battery compartment. Isao is cited to teach two foldable displays similar to Kondo. Isao further disclose that the spine includes a battery compartment (41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kondo as modified with the features of the battery compartment in the spine as taught by Isao so that the display housing can be designed thinner

5. Claims 11-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo US Patent No. (6,512,497) in view of Swartz et al. (US Patent No. 6,095,418), Rentsch (US Patent No. 5,541,396), Rovin (US Patent No. 5,049,728) and Muller et al. (US Patent No. 6,182,899) as applied to claims 1-3 and 10 above, and further in view of Phillipps (US Patent No. 6,107,988)

As to claim 11, it is noted that Kondo, Swartz, Rentsch, Rovin and Muller do not disclose a flexible display. Phillipps is cited to teach a foldable display similar to Kondo. Phillipps further discloses that the display is a flexible display (see col. 4, lines 62-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kondo as modified with the features of the flexible display as taught by Phillipps because the flexible display is not easy to break.

As to claim 12, it is well known in the art that the LCD can be a bi-stable (e.g. on or off states).

As to claim 13, Phillipps discloses a loop of a flexible display upon pivoting the first and second housing portions to a closed position in order that creasing of the display is avoided (see Fig. 11, and col. 4, line 66 to col. 5, line 3).

6. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kondo US Patent No. (6,512,497) in view of Swartz et al. (US Patent No. 6,095,418), Rentsch (US Patent No. 5,541,396), Rovin (US Patent No. 5,049,728) and Muller et al. (US Patent No. 6,182,899) as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Ohara et al. (US Patent No. 6,052,117).

As to claim 16, it is noted that Kondo, Swartz, Rentsch, Rovin and Muller do discloses the input control means includes a joystick. Ohara is cited to teach notebook type display similar to Kondo. Ohara discloses a joystick in the device. It would have been obvious to one of

Application/Control Number: 09/942,602 Page 6

Art Unit: 2674

ordinary skill in the art to have modified Kondo as modified with the feature of the joystick as taught by Ohara to provide an input control for the display.

#### Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues Kondo does not disclose the newly added limitation of "a card storage for storing a plurality of cards", this argument is not persuasive because it is well known in the art to have used a magazine for holding a plurality of cards such as taught by Rovin as a newly applied prior art mentioned in the above rejection, Applicant further argues that there was no motivation in the art to use printed data cards as a means for reading the contents of a book or magazine. This argument is not persuasive because Steward and Rentsch both teach a card having pattern encoding text and/or graphics of plurality of a pages of a document printed thereon and they can be substituted for CD of Kondo since they all are to similar optical scanning and reading.

#### Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The US 5,764,774 is cited to teach a source data compression and decompression in code symbol printing and decoding. The US 2002/0020745 is cited to teach a device for using multiple smartcards in a reader.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to XIAO M. WU whose telephone number is 571 272-7761. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 am to 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,602 Page 7

Art Unit: 2674

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, PATRICK EDOUARD, can be reached on 571 272-7603. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

x.w. February 18, 206

> XIAO M. WU Primary Examiner Art Unit 2674

Ki Wu